## Dictionary-based Coding



## Last Lecture: Predictive Lossless Coding

## Predictive Lossless Coding

- Simple and effective way to exploit dependencies between neighboring symbols / samples
- Optimal predictor: Conditional mean (requires storage of large tables)


## Affine and Linear Prediction

- Simple structure, low-complex implementation possible

■ Optimal prediction parameters are given by solution of Yule-Walker equations

- Works very well for real signals (e.g., audio, images, ...)


## Efficient Lossless Coding for Real-World Signals

- Affine/linear prediction (often: block-adaptive choice of prediction parameters)
- Entropy coding of prediction errors (e.g., arithmetic coding)
- Using marginal pmf often already yields good results
- Can be improved by using conditional pmfs (with simple conditions)


## Dictionary-Based Coding

## Coding of Text Files

- Very high amount of dependencies
- Affine prediction does not work (requires linear dependencies)
- Higher-order conditional coding should work well, but is way to complex (memory)
$\Rightarrow$ Alternative: Do not code single characters, but words or phrases


## Example: English Texts

- Oxford English Dictionary lists less than 230000 words (including obsolete words)
- On average, a word contains about 6 characters
$\rightarrow$ Average codeword length per character would be limited by

$$
\bar{\ell}<\frac{1}{6} \cdot\left\lceil\log _{2} 230000\right\rceil \approx 3.0
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Including "phrases" would further increase coding efficiency

## Lempel-Ziv Coding

## Universal Algorithms for Lossless Data Compression

- Based on the work of Abraham Lempel and Jacob Ziv
- Basic idea: Construct dictionary during encoding and decoding


## Two Basic Variants

■ LZ77: Based on [Ziv, Lempel, "A Universal algorithm for sequential data compresion", 1977]
$\Rightarrow$ Lempel-Ziv-Storer-Szymanski (LSZZ)
$\rightarrow$ DEFLATE used in ZIP, gzip, PNG, TIFF, PDF, OpenDocument, ...
$\rightarrow$ Lempel-Ziv-Markov Chain Algorithm (LZMA) used in 7zip, xv, Izip
$\rightarrow$...
■ LZ78: Based on [Ziv, Lempel, "Compression of individual sequences via variable-rate coding", 1978]
$\Rightarrow$ Lempel-Ziv-Welch (LZW) used in compress, GIF, optional support in PDF, TIFF
$\rightarrow \ldots$

## The Lempel-Ziv 1977 Algorithm (LZ77)



## Basic Idea of the LZ77 Algorithm

- Dictionary of variable-length sequences is given by the preceding $N$ symbols (sliding window)
$\Rightarrow$ Find longest possible match for the sequence at the start of the look-ahead buffer
- Message is coded as sequence of triples $(d, \ell, n)$ :
$\rightarrow d$ : distance of best match from next symbol to be coded
$\rightarrow \ell$ : length of matched phrase (match starts in search buffer but may reach into look-ahead buffer)
$\Rightarrow n$ : next symbol after matched sequence
- If no match is found, then $(1,0, n)$ is coded (with $n$ being the next symbol after the cursor)


## Simplest Version: LZ77 Algorithm with Fixed-Length Coding



## How Many Bits Do We Need?

- Distance $d: \quad$ Can take values from $1 \ldots N \quad$ (we could actually code $d-1$ )
$\Rightarrow$ Require $n_{d}=\left\lceil\log _{2} N\right\rceil$ bits
- Length $\ell: \quad$ Can take values from $0 \ldots L-1 \quad(\ell+1$ symbols must fit into look-ahead buffer $)$
$\Rightarrow$ Require $n_{\ell}=\left\lceil\log _{2} L\right\rceil$ bits
- Next symbol $n$ : Can be any symbol of the alphabet $\mathcal{A}$ with size $|\mathcal{A}|$
$\rightarrow$ Require $n_{n}=\left\lceil\log _{2}|\mathcal{A}|\right\rceil$ bits (in most applications: 8 bits)
$\Rightarrow$ The sizes of both the preview and the look-ahead buffer should be integer powers of two!


## Toy Example: LZ77 Encoding

Message: Miss Mississippi
original message:

- 16 characters (8 bits per symbols)
$\Rightarrow 128$ bits ( $16 \times 8$ bits)


## LZ77 configuration:

- search buffer of $N=8$ symbols
- look-ahead buffer of $L=4$ symbols
coded representation (fixed-length):
$\Rightarrow 8$ triples $(d, \ell, n)$
- 13 bits per triple $(3+2+8$ bits $)$
$\Rightarrow 104$ bits ( $19 \%$ bit savings)


## Toy Example: LZ77 Decoding

Coded representation:
Decode message:

$$
(1,0, M)(1,0, i)(1,0, s)(1,1, \sqcup)(5,3, s)(3,3, i)(1,0, p)(1,1, i)
$$

Miss_Mississippi

| search buffer | $(d, l, n)$ | decoded phrase |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | $(1,0, M)$ | M |
| $M$ | $(1,0, i)$ | i |
| Mi | $(1,0, \mathrm{~s})$ | s |
| Mis | $(1,1, \sqcup)$ | $\mathrm{s}_{\llcorner }$ |
| Miss $_{\sqcup}$ | $(5,3, \mathrm{~s})$ | Miss |
| iss $_{\mathrm{L}}$ Miss | $(3,3, i)$ | issi |
| Mississi | $(1,0, \mathrm{p})$ | p |
| ississip | $(1,1, i)$ | pi |

## Coding Efficiency and Complexity of LZ77

## Coding Efficiency

- The LZ77 algorithm is asymptotically optimal (e.g., when using unary codes for $d$ and $\ell$ )

$$
N \rightarrow \infty, L \rightarrow \infty \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \bar{\ell} \rightarrow \bar{H}
$$

- Proof can be found in [Cover, Thomas, "Elements of Information Theory"]
- In practice: Require really large search buffer sizes $N$


## Implementation Complexity

- Decoder: Very low complexity (just copying characters)
- Encoder: Highly depends on buffer size $N$ and actual implementation
$\rightarrow$ Use suitable data structures such as search trees, radix trees, hash tables
$\rightarrow$ Not necessary to find the "best match" (note: shorter match can actually be more efficient)
$\Rightarrow$ There are very efficient implementations for rather large buffer sizes (e.g., $N=32768$ )


## LZ77 Variant: The Lempel-Ziv-Storer-Szymanski Algorithm (LZSS)



## Changes relative to LZ77 Algorithm

1 At first, code a single bit $b$ to indicate whether a match is found
2 For matches, don't transmit the following symbol
$\Rightarrow$ Message is coded as sequence of tuples $(b,\{d, \ell\} \mid n)$

- The indication bit $b$ signals whether a match is found ( $b=1 \rightarrow$ match found)
- If $(b=0)$, then code next symbol $n$ as literal
- If $(b=1)$, then code the match as distance-length pair $\{d, \ell\}$ (with $d \in[1, N]$ and $\ell \in[1, L]$ )


## Toy Example: LZSS Encoding

Message: Miss Mississippi

| search buffer | look-ahead | $(b,\{d, \ell\} \mid n)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Miss | (0, M ) |
| M | iss ${ }_{\square}$ | (0, i ) |
| Mi | Ss $\mathrm{S}^{\text {M }}$ | (0, s ) |
| Mis | $S_{\square} \mathrm{Mi}^{\text {i }}$ | ( $1,1,1$ ) |
| Miss | Mis | $(0, \sqcup)$ |
| Miss ${ }^{\text {}}$ | Miss | ( $1,5,4$ ) |
| issuMiss | issi | (1, 3, 4) |
| Mississi | ppi | (0, p ) |
| ississip | pi | ( $1,1,1$ ) |
| ssissipp | i | $(1,3,1)$ |

original message:

- 16 characters (8 bits per symbols)
$\Rightarrow 128$ bits $(16 \times 8$ bits $)$


## LZSS configuration:

- search buffer of $N=8$ symbols
- look-ahead buffer of $L=4$ symbols
coded representation (fixed-length):
$\Rightarrow 5$ literals $\quad(5 \times 9$ bits $)$
$\Rightarrow 5$ matches ( $5 \times 6$ bits)
$\rightarrow 75$ bits ( $41 \%$ bit savings)


## Toy Example: LZSS Decoding

Coded representation:
Decode message:
$(0, \mathrm{M})(0, \mathrm{i})(0, \mathrm{~s})(1,1,1)(0$, 匕 $)(1,5,4)(1,3,4)(0, \mathrm{p})(1,1,1)(1,3,1)$
Miss_Mississippi


## The DEFLATE Algorithm: Combining LZSS with Huffman Coding

## The Concept of DEFLATE

■ Pre-process message/file/symbol sequence using the LZSS algorithm (remove dependencies)

- Entropy coding of tuples $(b,\{d, \ell\} \mid n)$ using Huffman coding


## Details of DEFLATE Format

- Input as interpreted as sequence of bytes (alphabet size of 256)

■ LZSS configuration: Search buffer of $N=32768$, look-ahead buffer of $L=\mathbf{2 5 8}$
■ Input data are coded using variable-length blocks (for optimizing the Huffman coding)

| 3-bit block header (at start of each block) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 bit | 0 | there are blocks that follow the current block |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | this is the last block of the file / data stream |  |  |  |  |
| 2 bits | 00 | uncompressed block (number of bytes in block is coded after block header, max. 65k) |  |  |  |  |
|  | 01 | compressed block using pre-defined Huffman tables |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 | compressed block with transmitted Huffman tables (most frequently used type) |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11 | reserved (forbidden) |  |  |  |  |

## The DEFLATE Format: Two Huffman Tables

## Main Huffman table with 288 codewords

| index $n$ | meaning (additional codewords follow for $n=257 \ldots 285)$ |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $0-255$ | literal with ASCII code being equal to $n$ |  |
| 256 | end-of-block (last symbol of a block) |  |
| $257-264$ | match with $\ell=(n-254)$ |  |
| $265-268$ | match with $\ell=2 \cdot(n-260)+1+x$ | $(1$ extra bit for $x)$ |
| $269-272$ | match with $\ell=4 \cdot(n-265)+3+x$ | $(2$ extra bits for $x)$ |
| $273-276$ | match with $\ell=8 \cdot(n-269)+3+x$ | $(3$ extra bits for $x)$ |
| $277-280$ | match with $\ell=16 \cdot(n-273)+3+x$ | $(4$ extra bits for $x)$ |
| $281-284$ | match with $\ell=32 \cdot(n-277)+3+x$ | $(5$ extra bits for $x)$ |
| 285 | match with $\ell=258$ |  |

Note 1: The values for $x$ are coded using fixed-length codes. Note 2: The match size must be in range $\ell=3 \ldots 258$.

## Huffman table for distance

| $n$ | distance $d$ | bits for $z$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $0-3$ | $d=1+n$ |  |
| 4 | $d=5+z$ | 1 |
| 5 | $d=7+z$ | 1 |
| 6 | $d=9+z$ | 2 |
| 7 | $d=13+z$ | 2 |
| 8 | $d=17+z$ | 4 |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| 26 | $d=8193+z$ | 12 |
| 27 | $d=12289+z$ | 12 |
| 28 | $d=16385+z$ | 13 |
| 29 | $d=24577+z$ | 13 |
| $30-31$ | reserved |  |

Note: The values for $z$ are coded using fixed-length codes.

## The DEFLATE Algorithm in Practice

## Encoding and Decoding

- Decoding: Straightforward (follow format specification)
- Encoding: Can trade-off coding efficiency and complexity
$\rightarrow$ Fixed pre-defined or dynamic Huffman tables
$\Rightarrow$ Determination of suitable block sizes
$\rightarrow$ Simplified search for finding best matches


## Applications

- One the most used algorithms in practice
$\rightarrow$ Archive formats: Library zlib, ZIP, gzip, PKZIP, Zopfli, CAB
$\rightarrow$ Lossless image coding: PNG, TIFF
$\rightarrow$ Documents: OpenDocument, PDF
$\rightarrow$ Cryptography: Crypto++
$\rightarrow$...


## LZ77 Variant: Lempel-Ziv-Markov Chain Algorithm (LZMA)

## The Concept of LZMA

- Pre-process byte sequence using an LZ77 variant (similar to LZSS, but with special cases)
- Entropy coding of resulting bit sequence using a range encoder (adaptive binary arithmetic coding)


## Improvements over DEFLATE

- Most important: Context-based adaptive binary arithmetic coding of bit sequences
- Larger search buffer of up to $\mathbf{N}=4294967296$ (32 bit), look-ahead buffer of $\mathbf{L}=273$
- Special codes for using same distances as for one of the last four matches


## Applications of LZMA

- Next generation file compressors
$\Rightarrow$ 7zip, xv, Izip, ZIPX


## LZMA: Mapping of Byte Sequences to Bit Sequences

## Code for single byte sequence (match or literal)

| 0 <br> $10+($ byte $)$ | Direct encoding of next byte (no match) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1100 | Match of length $\ell=1$, distance $d$ is equal to last used distance |
| $1101+\ell$ | Match of length $\ell$, distance $d$ is equal to last used distance |
| $1110+\ell$ | Match of length $\ell$, distance $d$ is equal to second last used distance |
| $11110+\ell$ | Match of length $\ell$, distance $d$ is equal to third last used distance |
| $11111+\ell$ | Match of length $\ell$, distance $d$ is equal to fourth last used distance |

Code for length $\ell$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
0+(3 \text { bits }) & \text { Length in range } \ell=2 \ldots 9 \\
10+(3 \text { bits }) & \text { Length in range } \ell=10 \ldots 17 \\
11+(8 \text { bits }) & \text { Length in range } \ell=18 \ldots 273
\end{array}
$$

## Code for distance $d$

- 6 bits for indicating "distance slot"
- followed by $0-30$ of bits (depending on slot)


## LZMA: Entropy Coding of Bit Sequence after LZ77 Variant

## Entropy Coding of Bit Sequences

- Context-based Adaptive Binary Arithmetic Coding (called range encoder)
- Multiple adaptive binary probability models + bypass mode (probability 0.5)
- Sophisticated context modeling: Probability model for next bit is chosen based on ...
- type of bit, value of preceding byte, preceding bits of current byte,
- type of preceding byte sequences, ...


## Binary Arithmetic Coding Engine

- 11 bits of precision for binary probability masses (only store $p_{0}$, since $p_{1}=2^{11}-p_{0}$ )
- 32 bits of precision for interval width
- Probability models are updated according to

$$
p_{0}= \begin{cases}p_{0}+\left(\left(2^{11}-p_{0}\right) \gg 5\right) & : \quad \text { bit }=0 \\ p_{0}-\left(p_{0} \gg 5\right) & : \quad \text { bit }=1\end{cases}
$$

## The Lempel-Ziv 1978 Algorithm (LZ78)

## Main Difference to LZ77

- Dictionary is not restricted to preceding $N$ symbols
- Dictionary is constructed during encoding and decoding


## The LZ78 Algorithm

- Starts with an empty dictionary
- Next variable-length symbol sequence as coded by tuple $\{k, n\}$
- $k$ : Index for best match in dictionary (or " 0 " if no match is found)
- $n$ : Next symbol (similar to LZ77)
- After coding a tuple $\{k, n\}$, the represented phrase is added to the dictionary


## Number of Bits for Dictionary Index

- Number of bits $n_{k}$ for dictionary index depends in dictionary size

$$
n_{k}=\left\lceil\log _{2}(1+\text { dictionary size })\right\rceil
$$

- In practice: Dictionary is reset after it becomes too large


## Toy Example: LZ78 Encoding

| phrase | output | bits | dictionary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t$ | $(0, t)$ | 8 | 1: t |
| h | $(0, h)$ | 9 | 2: h |
| i | $(0, \mathrm{i})$ | 10 | 3: i |
| n | $(0, n)$ | 10 | 4: n |
| k | ( $0, \mathrm{k}$ ) | 11 | 5: k |
| in | $(3, n)$ | 11 | 6: in |
| g | $(0, g)$ | 11 | 7: g |
| $\square$ | ( $0, \stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ ) | 11 | 8: |
| th | $(1, \mathrm{~h})$ | 12 | 9: th |
| ing | $(6, g)$ | 12 | 10: ing |
| s | ( $0, \mathrm{~s}$ ) | 12 | 11: s |
| $\stackrel{t}{4}$ | $(8, \mathrm{t})$ | 12 | 12: ut |
| hr | ( $2, \mathrm{r}$ ) | 12 | 13: hr |
| - | ( $0, \circ$ ) | 12 | 14: o |
| u | ( $0, \mathrm{u}$ ) | 12 | 15: u |
| gh | ( $7, \mathrm{~h}$ ) | 12 | 16: gh |

## Message:

## thinking ${ }^{\text {things」through }}$

## Result:

- Original message: 184 bits (23 bytes)
- Required 177 bits in total

Remember: Number of bits for dictionary index $k$

$$
n_{k}=\left\lceil\log _{2}(1+\text { dictionary size })\right\rceil
$$

## Toy Example: LZ78 Decoding

| input | phrase | dictionary |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(0, \mathrm{t})$ | t | $1: \mathrm{t}$ |
| $(0, \mathrm{~h})$ | h | $2: \mathrm{h}$ |
| $(0, \mathrm{i})$ | i | $3: \mathrm{i}$ |
| $(0, \mathrm{n})$ | n | $4: \mathrm{n}$ |
| $(0, \mathrm{k})$ | k | $5: \mathrm{k}$ |
| $(3, \mathrm{n})$ | in | $6: \mathrm{in}$ |
| $(0, \mathrm{~g})$ | g | $7: \mathrm{g}$ |
| $(0, \sqcup)$ | u | $8: \sqcup$ |
| $(1, \mathrm{~h})$ | th | $9: \mathrm{th}$ |
| $(6, \mathrm{~g})$ | ing | $10: \mathrm{ing}$ |
| $(0, \mathrm{~s})$ | s | $11: \mathrm{s}$ |
| $(8, \mathrm{t})$ | t | $12: \sqcup \mathrm{t}$ |
| $(2, \mathrm{r})$ | hr | $13: \mathrm{hr}$ |
| $(0, \mathrm{o})$ | o | $14: \mathrm{o}$ |
| $(0, \mathrm{u})$ | u | $15: \mathrm{u}$ |
| $(7, \mathrm{~h})$ | gh | $16: \mathrm{gh}$ |

## Decoded Message:

thinkingthings」through

## LZ78 Variant: The Lempel-Ziv-Welch Algorithm (LZW)

## Main Difference to LZ78

- Dictionary is initialized with all strings of length one (i.e., all byte codes)
- Next symbol is not included in code


## The LZW Algorithm

■ Send code for dictionary entry that matches start of remaining sequence
■ After sending a code, a new dictionary entry is added that consists of

- the phrases that was just coded followed by
- the next symbol in the message


## Applications using the LZW Algorithm

■ Unix file compression tool compress

- Image coding format GIF
- Optional compression mode in PDF and TIFF


## Toy Example: LZW Encoding

| phrase | next | output | dictionary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| t | h | <116> | 256: th |
| h | i | <104> | 257: hi |
| i | n | <105> | 258: in |
| n | k | <110> | 259: nk |
| k | i | <107> | 260: ki |
| in | g | <258> | 261: ing |
| g | $\sqcup$ | <103> | 262: g ${ }_{\square}$ |
| $\sqcup$ | t | <32> | 263: பt |
| th | i | <256> | 264: thi |
| ing | S | <261> | 265: ings |
| S | $\sqcup$ | <115> | 266: $\mathrm{s}_{\sqcup}$ |
| $\sqcup t$ | h | <263> | 267: பth |
| h | r | <104> | 268: hr |
| $r$ | $\bigcirc$ | <114> | 269: ro |
| $\bigcirc$ | u | <111> | 270: ou |
| u | g | <117> | 271: ug |
| g | h | <103> | 272: gh |
| h |  | <104> | 273: h |

## Message:

thinkingthings」through

## Pre-initialized dictionary:

- All byte codes: <0> .. < $<255>$


## Result:

- Original message: 184 bits (23 bytes)
- Required 162 bits ( $18 \times 9$ bits)


## Toy Example: LZW Decoding

| input | output | dictionary | conjecture |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <116> | t |  | 256: t? |  |
| <104> | h | 256: th | 257: h? |  |
| <105> | i | 257: hi | 258: i? | Message: |
| <110> | n | 258: in | 259: n? | thinking things ¢hrough $^{\text {b }}$ |
| <107> | k | 259: nk | 260: k? |  |
| <258> | in | 260: ki | 261: in? |  |
| <103> | g | 261: ing | 262: g? |  |
| <32> | $\sqcup$ | 262: g | 263: ь? |  |
| <256> | th | 263: பt | 264: th? | Pre-initialized dictionary: <br> - All byte codes: <0> .. <255> |
| <261> | ing | 264: thi | 265: ing? |  |
| <115> | s | 265: ings | 266: s? |  |
| <263> | $\iota^{\text {t }}$ | 266: $\mathrm{s} \sqcup$ | 267: ьt? |  |
| <104> | h | 267: பth | 268: h? |  |
| <114> | r | 268: hr | 269: r? |  |
| <111> | $\bigcirc$ | 269: ro | 270: o? |  |
| <117> | u | 270: ou | 271: u? |  |
| <103> | g | 271: ug | 272: g? |  |
| <104> | h | 272: gh | 273: h? |  |

## LZW: The K-Omega-K Problem

## Property of LZW Algorithm

- Decoder is one step behind encoder in constructing dictionary
- Encoder might send code for not yet completed dictionary entry

Example: Coding of sequence "...cXYZcXYZca..."

| encoder |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| phrase | next | output | dictionary |
|  |  |  | $<300\rangle:$ cXYZ |
| cXYZ | c | $<300>$ | $<400>:$ cXYZc |
| cXYZc | a | $<400\rangle$ | $<401\rangle:$ cXYZca |


| input | output | dictionary | conjecture |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <300> : cXYZ |  |  |  |
| <300> | cXYZ |  | <400>: cXYZ? |
| <400> | cXYZ? | ( cXYZ? mus | e cXYZc) |

## How can the decoder correctly decode in such a case?

- Incomplete dictionary entry is last added entry

■ This entry is used only if the first symbol of new sequence is the last symbol of incomplete entry
$\rightarrow$ Last symbol must be equal to first symbol! (in our example: "cXYZ?" ="cXYZc")

## The Burrows-Wheeler Transform (BWT)

1 Create all rotations of the original message
2 Sort all rotations in lexicographical order
3 Output: Last column of the sorted block + index of original message (in sorted block)

\section*{Example: Message "BANANAMAN" <br> | $\xrightarrow{\text { rotations }}$ | B ANANAMAN |  | AMANBANAN |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ANANAMANB |  | ANAMANBAN |  |  |
|  | NANAMANBA |  | ANANAMANB |  |  |
|  | ANAMANBAN | $\xrightarrow{\text { sorting }}$ | ANBANANAM BANANAMAN |  | NNBMNAAAA |
|  | AMANBANAN |  | MANBANANA | index | index $=4$ |
|  | MANBANANA |  | NAMANBANA |  |  |
|  | ANBANANAM |  | NANAMANBA |  |  |
|  | NBANANAMA |  | NBANANAMA |  |  |

## BWT: The Inverse Transform (Can we reconstruct the original message?)


decoded message:
BANANAMAN

Given:

- Last column of sorted block "NNBMNAAAA"

■ Index of original message in sorted block (4)

## Decoding procedure

1 Create first column of sorted block (by sorting)
2 First symbol is given at transmitted index
3 Next symbol is obtained by
a Look for corresponding symbol in last column (i.e., same count of same letter)
(b) Next symbol is at same position in first column (since following symbol is in first column)

4 Continue procedure until all letters are decoded

## BWT: Why Is It Useful for Compression?

Property of BTW (for large blocks)

- Symbols on left side of sorted block are contexts (symbols that follow last column in message)
- Block lines are sorted according to the contexts
- Likely that same symbol (last column) precedes same context (source with memory: conditional pmf with high peak)
$\Rightarrow$ Last column contains long sequences of identical symbols


## How to exploit this property?

- In following processing steps
- Example: Move-to-front transform (MTF)


## The Move-To-Front Transform (MTF)

## MTF: Map Symbols Sequences to Sequence of Unsigned Integers

1 Replace next symbol with its alphabet index
2 Update alphabet $\mathcal{A}$ by moving symbol to the front

## Example: Sequence "NNBMNAAAA" (result of BWT for "BANANAMAN")

| NNBMNAAAA | 13 | $\mathcal{A}=\{$ ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ $\}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NNBMNAAAA | 0 | $\mathcal{A}=\{$ NABCDEFGHIJKLMOPQRSTUVWXYZ $\}$ |
| NNBMNAAAA | 2 | $\mathcal{A}=\{$ NABCDEFGHIJKLMOPQRSTUVWXYZ |
| NNBMNAAAA | 13 | $\mathcal{A}=\{$ BNACDEFGHIJKLMOPQRSTUVWXYZ $\}$ |
| NNBMNAAAA | 2 | $\mathcal{A}=\{$ MBNACDEFGHIJKLOPQRSTUVWXYZ $\}$ |
| NNBMNAAAA | 3 | $\mathcal{A}=\{$ NMBACDEFGHIJKLOPQRSTUVWXYZ $\}$ |
| NNBMNAAAA | 0 | $\mathcal{A}=\{$ ANMBCDEFGHIJKLOPQRSTUVWXYZ $\}$ |
| NNBMNAAAA | 0 | $\mathcal{A}=\{$ ANMBCDEFGHIJKLOPQRSTUVWXYZ $\}$ |
| NNBMNAAAA | 0 | $\mathcal{A}=\{$ ANMBCDEFGHIJKLOPQRSTUVWXYZ $\}$ |

$\Rightarrow$ Effect: Many small values for sequences with long repetitions (e.g., results of a BWT)

## File Compression Utility BZIP2

## Main Components for Compression

- Run-length encoding of input data (special V2V code)
- Block-wise Burrows-Wheeler Transform (BWT)
- Move-To-Front Transform (MTF) of BWT result
- Run-length encoding of MTF result
- Dynamic Huffman coding

Some more details

- Block size for BWT/MTF of up to 900 kBytes
- Smart coding of Huffman tables
- Up to 6 Huffman tables per block
- Adaptive selection between Huffman tables (every 50 symbols)


## Universal File Compressors

Marginal Huffman Coding

$\rightarrow$ Very old Unix utility pack

Lempel-Ziv-Welch (LZW) Algorithm
$\rightarrow$ Old Unix utility compress

DEFLATE: Lempel-Ziv-Storer-Szymanski (LZSS) + Huffman Coding
$\rightarrow$ File compressors ZIP, gzip, PKZIP, Zopfli, CAB

Lempel-Ziv-Markov-Chain (LZMA) with binary arithmetic coding
$\Rightarrow$ File compressors 7zip, xv, Izip

Block Sorting: Burrows-Wheeler \& Move-To-Front Transform
$\rightarrow$ File compressor bzip2

## Lossless Audio Coding: Free Lossless Audio Codec (FLAC)

## Basic Source Codec

1 Decompose audio file into variable-size blocks
$\rightarrow$ Block sizes determines capability for adaptation to signal statistics
2 Inter-channel decorrelation (invertible)

- For example: Stereo is coded as mid $=($ left + right $) / 2$

$$
\text { side }=(\text { left }- \text { right })
$$

3 Linear prediction (4 types)
a No prediction
(b) Prediction by a constant value

C Prediction using pre-defined linear predictor (order 1 to 4)
d Prediction using adaptive linear predictor (up to order 32)
4 Entropy coding of prediction error samples

- Rice coding with adaptive Rice parameter selection


## Lossless Image Coding: Portable Network Graphics (PNG)

## Basic Source Codec

1 Separate Coding of Individual Color Planes
2 Prediction of Image Samples
$\Rightarrow$ Predictor is selected per image row
$\rightarrow$ Five predictors are pre-defined (no adaptive prediction coefficients)

| 0 | none | direct coding of image samples |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | left | prediction using left sample |
| 2 | above | prediction using above sample |
| 3 | average | prediction using rounded average of left and above sample |
| 4 | Paeth | non-linear prediction using left, above, and corner sample (most often use) |

3 Entropy Coding of Prediction Error Samples

- DEFLATE algorithm:
$\rightarrow$ Lempel-Ziv-Storer-Szymanski (LZSS) algorithm for dependency removal
$\rightarrow$ Huffman coding of LZSS output (adaptive Huffman tables)


## Lossless Image Coding: JPEG-LS (Joint Photographic Experts Group)

## Basic Source Codec

1 First prediction stage: LOCO Predictor

$$
\hat{X}= \begin{cases}\min (L, A) & : C \geq \max (L, A) \\ \max (L, A) & : C \leq \min (L, A) \\ L+A-C & : \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$



2 Second order prediction using conditional mean $\mathrm{E}\left\{x \mid g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right\}$

- Given by clipped gradients ( 365 contexts after merging contexts with positive and negative signs)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{1}=\max (-4, \min (4, D-A)) \\
& g_{2}=\max (-4, \min (4, A-C)) \\
& g_{3}=\max (-4, \min (4, C-L))
\end{aligned}
$$

3 Entropy Coding of Prediction Error Samples

- Rice codes
- Optional: Run-length coding (for uniform areas)


## Comparison: Universal vs Specialized Compressors

|  |  | text | images | audio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| compr | sion | compression factor | compression factor | compression factor |
| gzip | (DEFLATE) | 2.60 | 1.20 | 1.09 |
| Izip | (LZMA) | 3.53 | 1.41 | 1.17 |
| bzip2 | (BWT+MTF) | 3.55 | 1.39 | 1.15 |
| PNG | (prediction) |  | 1.62 |  |
| FLAC | (prediction) |  |  | 1.82 |

## $\rightarrow$ Specialized Compressors achieve Higher Coding Efficiency

## Summary of Lecture

## Dictionary-based Coding

- Lempel-Ziv 1977 and 1978 algorithms (LZ77, LZ78): Basis for many universal compressors
- Lempel-Ziv-Storer-Szymanski (LZSS): Variant of LZ77
- Lempel-Ziv-Welch (LZW): Variant of LZ78
- DEFLATE: Combining LZSS with Huffman Coding
- Lempel-Ziv-Markov Chain Algorithm (LZMA): LZ78 Variant with Binary Arithmetic Coding

Lossless Coding using Block Sorting

- Burrows-Wheeler Transform (BWT)
- Move-To-Front Transform (MFT)


## Lossless Compression Applications

- Universal File Compression: compress, gzip, bezip2, Izip
- Lossless Audio Coding: FLAC
- Lossless Image Coding: PNG, JPEG-LS


## Exercise: Lossless Image Compression Challenge (Part II)

Improve your codec for lossless coding of 8-bit color images

- Try different things discussed in lectures and exercises


## The following might be worth trying

- Prediction
- Simple prediction using left sample
- Fixed non-linear predictor like LOCO or Paeth predictor
- Line- or block-adaptive selection of predictor (e.g., between horizontal, vertical, ...)
- Entropy Coding of Prediction Errors
- Simple Rice codes (may be with adaptive Rice parameter)
- Arithmetic coding with adaptive marginal pmf
- Arithmetic coding with conditional pmf (very simple conditions)

Measure and provide the compressed file sizes for the Kodak test set!

